				1. CONTRACT ID	PAGE	PAGE OF		
AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION	MODIFICATION O	F CONTRACT	Γ			1		4
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.  AMENDMENT 0003	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 27JULY2000	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. 5. PROJECT NO. (If applica N/A			pplicable)			
6. ISSUED BY CODE	SP4420	7. ADMINISTER	RED E	BY (If other than Item	<i>6</i> ) C	ODE	SF	P4420
DEFENSE REUTILIZATION & MARKETING DRMS-TPHB POSTFACH 2027 65010 WIESBADEN, GERMANY BUYER/SYMBOL – MARK FITZGERALD / DRM: PHONE - (49) 611-380-7170 FAX: (49) 611-380	S-TPHB					_		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (NO.		nd ZIP Code)		9a. AMENDMENT (	OF SOLICI	TATION	NO	
	,		X	9b. DATED (SEE IT)  10a. MODIFICATIO	ГЕМ 11) D2 JUN (		ORD	ER NO.
				10h DATED (CEE	ITEM 42)			
CODE: FA	CILITY CODE:		ļ	10b. DATED (SEE	ITEM 13)			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ONLY APPLIES TO	AMENDMENTS	OF	SOLICITATION	S			
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUAL CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.      B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORD appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM      C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS EN      D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and a	is a reference to the solicitation EIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO already submitted, such chang the opening hour and date specified)  LIES ONLY TO MOD IE CONTRACT/ORDID INT TO: (Specify authority) THE ER IS MODIFIED TO REFLEC 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTTERED INTO PURSUANT TO	and amendment num THE HOUR AND DA e may be made by tel- ciffied.  IFICATIONS O ER NO. AS DE E CHANGES SET FO T THE ADMINISTRAT THORITY OF FAR 43. AUTHORITY OF:	F CO SCR DRTH	PAILURE OF YOUR A PECIFIED MAY RESULT IN OR letter, provided each  ONTRACTS/ORI RIBED IN ITEM 1 IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE CHANGES (such as chair)  ICE OF CONTRACTO	DERS, 4. IN THE INGES in payin	DGMENT ION OF Y letter make	OUR ces ref	OFFER.
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor [ ] is not, [ ] is require	=			=				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICAT  SEE ATTACHED.  Except as provided herein, all terms and condunchanged and in full force and effect.  15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER ( <i>Type or prin</i>	itions of the document r	eferenced in Iten	n 9A	J	ore chanç			ŕ
15D NAME OF CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C DATE CIONED	16D UNITED 07	- A <del></del>	S OF AMEDICA	Г	160 0	\TE	SICNIED
15B. NAME OF CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C.DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED ST				IOC.DA	\IE S	SIGNED
BY(Signature of person authorized to sign)		BY	ire of	f Contracting Officer)				

Solicitation SP4420-00-R-0003, as amended through 0002, is further amended as follows:

1. Question: CLIN 0001-- Project Management: During the first 120 days, the "scrap accumulation removal period," Phase I, a very large workload has to be executed and additionally the preparations for Phase II have to be started. This means an additional requirement of manpower, technical equipment and administrative support, which in no way can be, or should be, incorporated in the price that has to be laid down as CLIN 0001XA Service Level 1 which actually is the Phase II period. Eventually this CLIN is required for the normal period (Phase II) of one year with a normal regular workload of receiving materials and the respectively required segregation at the then established scrap collection point.

For example: In our opinion DRMO Kaiserslautern requires [certain equipment] at the beginning (Phase I) with [several] people to prepare the accumulated material for transportation and disposal. Once the ground is cleared fewer personnel and equipment is needed for the daily required routine work and normal tasks.

There should be a service level for the three different phases in order to distribute the according cost to the related timeframes.

```
e.g. service level 1 for 120 days (therefore basic year only), service level 2 for 12 months, service level 3 (currently 2, per pick up) service level 4 (Phase III action)
```

**Answer:** We understand that the *Phase I* requirements of this contract are more intense than *Phase II* and *Phase III*. Realizing that there will be some up-front investment in both labor and containers, the Government chose an 18-month base period rather than a 12 months period. The pricing and technical strategy to be used is the responsibility of each offeror. There is no change in the solicitation requirement in this regard.

**2. Question:** CLINs for individual DRMOs: As you want the contractor to segregate and to comply with local laws, the contractor has to provide containers for more materials than are currently listed in the individual price schedules for each DRMO.

For example: At each site materials are delivered in cardboard boxes, or on pallets. These items in most cases will not be used again, but will be left as scrap. Therefore containers for wood and paper/cardboard are basically required at all locations, otherwise they would have to be added as an amendment later on.

**Answer:** Any cardboard boxes or pallets that are expended during transportation or handling and become scrap material will be disposed/recycled under CLINs 0002 or 0021. CLIN 0015 will be used if CLINs 0002 or 0021, as appropriate, are not available at a particular DRMO. Some or all of these CLINs are currently on all Price Schedules.

**3. Question:** Phase III: An incorporation of the cost for the required tasks into the existing SubCLIN 0001 is not possible. This would immensely increase the price for pick up of item 0022. In the best interest of both, the US Government and the contractor, a new CLIN Service Level should be generated. The pick up of a number of vehicles and the task of establishing a forward collection site cannot be compared and the cost therefore is different.

**Answer:** The price for transportation and disposal/recycling of CLIN 0022 should be included in CLIN 0022. The CLIN 0001XB--Level 2 unit price should include service charges for managing or coordinating removals of materials (primarily CLIN 0022 in Phases I and II, and CLIN 0022 and other CLINs in Phase III). Reference Section B-2(c)(1)(ii) and the Changes below.

- **4. Change:** In solicitation Amendment 0001, the answer to question #9: the first sentence is amended to read as follows: "The base period is **primarily** for vehicles."
- 5. Change: In solicitation Amendment 0001, the answer to question # 33 (in the electronic version this Question & Answer may read as #18): the last sentence is amended to read as follows: "The unit of measure (U/M) for CLIN 0022 is "each (EA)," which constitutes one vehicle. The U/M for CLIN 0001[alpha]B Level 2 is "each (EA)," which is one pick-up of scrap. One pick-up of CLIN 0022 will be a minimum of twenty (20) vehicles per pick-up. (For Example, a Task Order may contain 35 EA of CLIN 0022 and 1 EA of CLIN 0001XB to cover the management/coordination of the remote site removal.) If scrap materials are removed from a DRMO, then CLIN 0001[alpha]B services will not be ordered for that scrap."
- **6. Question:** Partnering and subcontracting: It is our opinion that this contract cannot be executed by a single establishment alone. In a Joint Venture arrangement, what happens if one of the parts involved does not fit your requirements, maybe because of too high prices, or because of missing qualifications, etc. Will you try to get the best "components" together?

Answer: Each proposal submitted by an offeror, which is a joint-venture or a cooperation with other firms or subcontractors, will be evaluated as a whole against the solicitation requirements. Contract award will be made to a single offeror, as proposed (and potentially revised) by that offeror. The Government will not create a team consisting of the best "components" from various offerors. As outlined in clause M-2 of the solicitation, the evaluation factors are ranked as follows: (1) The management proposal is slightly more important than price or past performance individually and (2) Price and past performance will be evaluated as factors of equal importance. Note: offerors shall not propose a separate CLIN unit prices for each team member or subcontractor in a joint-venture or partnership, or split their prices between the team members/subcontractors.

**7. Question:** Regarding Past Performance, the solicitation asks for a listing of similar contracts executed during the past three years. All tasks, required from the Entsorger-side, have been carried out by one of our partners, employees or subcontractors, during the last ten years, but the least workload during the last three years, concerning services for the US Government. Performances for the public are no problem. Will this be acceptable to you?

**Answer:** Past performance is not exclusive to performance on government contracts. In accordance with Section L-3(c), provide information regarding past performance achieved under either Government "or commercial awards for the same or similar services...."

**8. Question:** We request a four week extension to the proposal due date.

**Answer:** The proposal due date will not be extended and remains not later than 1600 local time, July 27, 2000.